Silick Ct a	v. Walmart.com USA LLC et al		Doc.
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	MICHAEL OBOZCO on babalf of himself and all	1	
12	MICHAEL OROZCO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO	
15		CONSIDER WHETHER CASES	
16	NETFLIX, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC., and WALMART.COM USA LLC,	SHOULD BE RELATED	
17	Defendants.		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			
	1 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION 1	TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED	

1	On January 26, 2009, Plaintiff in Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ,
2	filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to Local Rule
3	3-12. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause appearing,
4	HEREBY GRANTS the motion.
5	IT IS ORDERED that Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-cv-0297 MEJ, is hereby
6	related to Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.
7	Orozco v. Netflix, Inc., et al. shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to local
8	Rule 3-12(f).
9	
10	Dated:
11	By:
12	The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22   23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	